arXiv:math/0507516v1 [math.DS] 25 Jul 2005

Some Questions Around The Hilbert 16th Problem

Ali Taghavi
alitghv@yahoo.com

February 2, 2008

Hilbert sixteenth problem asks for existence of uniform upper bound $H(n)$ for the number of limit cycles of a polynomial vector field of degree n,on the plane.This problem is open even for $n = 2$.However ,it is well known that the number of limit of a polynomial vector field on the plane is finite,see [3]. Using polar Change of coordinates we replace the phenomena of limit cycle with solution (r, θ) with the condition $r(0) = r(2\pi)$.

More generally consider the equation
$Z' = a_n(t)Z^n + a_{n-1}(t)Z^{n-1} + \dots$
where $Z' = dZ/dt$ and $a_i(t)$ are c^1 functions of real t.

for a fixed t,put $U(t)$ for the set of all z in $\mathbb{C}$,that ϕ_t can be defined at $(Z, 0)$,where ϕ is the flow of corresponding autonomous system,adding $t' = 1$ to the equation. $U(t)$ is an open simply connected subset of $\mathbb{C}$,further ϕ_t, as a map from $U(t)$ to $\mathbb{C}$, is a holomorphic function:if a_i is not analytic we approximate it with analytic map,and note that the uniform limit of holomorphic functions is holomorph.This shows that for $n > 1$ the above system is not a complete Vector field. Because any one to one entire function must be linear $\phi_t(Z) = a(t)Z + b(t)$. Differentiating in t implies $n = 1$ Similarly if ϕ_t be mobious function then n can be at most two This shows for $n > 2$ the argument of Smale described in [6] is not applicable.since any continuous function from spher to spher is holomorphic if it is holomorph on an open and dense subset of spher.On the other hand a fixed point of a holomorphic function has non negative Lefschetz number. In fact for n=3 there are example of autonomous equation $z' = f(z)$ that there are two isochronous center with different period T_1 and T_2 and ϕ_T can not be extended even continuously to sphere.

The $Complexification$ of the Hilbert 16th problem is a beautiful idea but in some case is not effective.In this note we suggest some different views,for looking at the Hilbert 16th problem:

1)Let $[X,Y]=0$ and γ is a limit cycle for X then γ must be invariant under Y,namely X and Y share on limit cycles. Since X and fX, for positive function f, have the same trajectories,it is interesting to compare $C(X)$ with $C(fX)$. By $C(X)$, centralizer of X ,one means all vector fields Z with $[X,Z]=0$, Locally around a non singular point of X, $C(X)$ and $C(fX)$ are isomorphic lie algebras. This local fact is no longer true globally(for a non vanishing Vector field on arbitrary surface),and ba false around a singularity of a vector field. For example,put $f(x,y)=x^2+y^2+1$ and vector field X as follow

$$\begin{cases}\dot{x}=y\\ \dot{y}=-x,\end{cases}$$

X is a non vanishing vector field on $\mathbb{R}^2-0$,on the other hand X is a vector field with singularity at origin ,in both cases,two lie algebras $C(X)$ and $C(fX)$ are not isomorph since the operation of lie bracket is zero in $C(fX)$,but it is not the case in $C(X)$.

It is also interesting that one look at the Hilbert sixteen problem in a non analytic but smooth manner ,for example consider the following question:

Let L be the lienard polynomial Vector field

$$\begin{cases}\dot{x}=y-F(x)\\ \dot{y}=-x,\end{cases}$$

without center and S be a smooth vector field with $[L,S]=0$,is it necessarily $S=kL$ for constant k?

Remark Non triviality of centralizer of non integrable Vector field X with component (P,Q) is equivalent to complete integrability of Hamiltonian $zP+wQ$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$

Example 1 consider vector fields X and Y as follows,respectively :

$$\begin{cases}\dot{x}=y+x(x^2+y^2-1)\\ \dot{y}=-x+y(x^2+y^2-1),\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}\dot{x}=2y+x(x^2+y^2-1)\\ \dot{y}=-2x+y(x^2+y^2-1),\end{cases}$$

X and Y are independent out of circle $x^2+y^2=1$.This circle is a hyperbolic limit cycle for X and Y while we have $[X,Y]=0$

2) Choose an arbitrary homogeneous Vector field of degree $n+1$.For example:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y(x^2+y^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ \dot{y} = -x(x^2+y^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}, \end{cases}$$

Cyclicity of origin under perturbation of this Vector field among polynomial Vector field of at most $n-degree$ is not less than $H(n)$.Now put X for above Vector field and Y for the following linear center

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y \\ \dot{y} = -x, \end{cases}$$

$[X,Y]=0$,Is it possible that for any perturbation X_ϵ of X,one have perturbation Y_ϵ with $[X_\epsilon, Y_\epsilon]=0$?

Note that linear center has finite *cyclicity* by analytic perturbation with finite parameter(The cyclicity of a singularity of a vector field among a family of vector fields is the maximum number of limit cycles which can be produced around singularity,by small perturbation of the vector field in the family,see [5]

3)Let we have a Vector field in $\mathbb{R}^n$Whose two first components depend only on x and y.Actually we have a planner Vector field with these two components. Existence of invariant compact $submanifold$ of codimension at most 2 could lead to existence of closed orbit in planner system. since projection of such $submanifold$ on two first component can not be a single point.

4)Any Vector field on a surface defines a singular foliation of dimension one and limit cycles are *seperetrix* leaves .according to definition in [8]. It would be interesting to produce some C^* algebraic invariants depending only on degree of polynomial Vector fields in the plane.

5)In [1],it is given a uniform upper bound,depending only on n, for the length of a closed orbit of a polynomial vector field of degree n,if we replace the usual riamannian metrics of the plane by the metrics of the upper half sphere.on the other hand,it is well known that the number of closed geodesics of a surface with negative curvature is bounded,uniformly,by the length of the closed geodesics.considering these two subjects,Can we equip the phase portrait of a certain polynomial vector field,ex.lienard system,with an appropriate riemannian metrics,which curvature is negative out of a finite number of analytic curves,such that the trajectories be geodesics.Is it possible to equip the punctured plane to a riemannian metric with negative curvature

such that the trajectories of the vander pol equation be geodesics,in this case we could give another proof for the fact that the van der pol equation can not have more than one limit cycle ,see [2] for information on the limit cycle of the vander pol equation.For a nice relation between limit cycles and complex geometry ,see [4]

6)A possible relation to operator theory:In it is interpreted the number of limit cycles of the lienard vector field L in term of codimension of the range of functional operator defined by L,$L(g) = L.g$,the derivative of g along the trajectories of L.see $www.arxiv.org/abs/math.DS/0408037$ In fact,if this operator could be bounded with a closed range,we would have a nice interpretation of "Fredholm index" for the number of limit cycles.what Banach Functional space is appropriate for the domain of the operator $L(g) = L.g$,such that the operator be a fredholm operator which index is equal to the number of limit cycles?Can we equip the space of smooth or analytic maps on the plane to the structure of a topological vector space such that the corresponding operator be fredholm with the same index interpretation(I thank A. Zeghib for his suggestion for consideration of TVS as a possible resolution to this problem).Is the generalization of the theory of "Fredholm Operators on Banach space" for TVS,a trivial problem?.

Finally we give two conjectures related to subject 1:

Conjecture 1 .For analytic Vector field X on the plane or sphere choose the elements of $C(X)$ among analytic vector fields. $C(X)$ is a Vector space on field of real number, If dimension of $C(X)$ is infinite then X is globally analytic integrable.(Are there example of arbitrary large finite dimension $C(X)$?)

Conjecture 2 .For a non Vanishing vector field X on the plane and positive smooth function f, $C(X)$ is isomorph to $C(fX)$.If the the conjecture is true we could actually assign a unique lie algebra ,up to isomorphism, to a smooth foliation of the plane.

Example 2.Let an analytic vector field on sphere has a center and a limit cycle Simultaneously then $C(X)$,the analytic centralizer, has dimension one. put $V = Vanderpolvectorfeld$ then $C(V)$ has at most two dimension.(what is the exact dimension of centralizer of van *derpol* vector field?). In the example 1,$C(X)$ is a 2 dimensional lie algebra while the centralizer of the following vector field(as a vector field on the plane or sphere) is a 4 dimensional space

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = x \\ \dot{y} = y, \end{cases}$$

References

[1] J.-P. Francoise and C. C. Pugh, *Keeping track of limit cycles*, J. Differential Equations 65, no. 2,1986.

[2] Hirsch, Smale, *Linear algebra, differential equations and dynamical systems*, Academic Press, 1974.

[3] Yu.S.Ilyashenko, *Finiteness Theorems for Limit Cycles*, AMS, Transl. 94, 1991.

[4] Yu.R.Romanovski, *Limit Cycles and Complex Geometry*,St.petersburg Math.J., Vol 8,No. 3 , 1997.

[5] R.Roussarie, *Bifurcation of planar vector fields and Hilbert's sixteenth problem*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1998.

[6] S.Shahshahan, *periodic solutions of polynomial first order Differential Equation*, Nonlinrear Analysis theory method application,Vol 5,no 2 (1981).

[7] X.Wang, *On the C^* Algebras of Foliation in the plane*, Lecture Note in Mathematics, 1257.